Full Annual Education Report

School-Level Student Assessment Data for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bennett Elementary School

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student	School	% Students		District %	School %	%	% D 6 4	% Partially	% Not
Group	Year	Tested	Students Proficient	Students Proficient	Students Proficient	Advanced (Level 1)	Proficient (Level 2)	Proficient (Level 3)	Proficient (Level 4)
English Lang	guage Arts / R	Reading							
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	86.4%	71.7%	80.3%	10.5%	69.7%	18.4%	1.3%
All Students	2009-10	98.8%	89.8%	79.1%	86.1%	22.8%	63.3%	12.7%	1.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	88.3%	74.6%	89.5%	15.8%	73.7%	10.5%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	91.9%	82.6%	91.1%	26.7%	64.4%	8.9%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	84.6%	68.7%	71.1%	5.3%	65.8%	26.3%	2.6%
Male	2009-10	97.1%	87.9%	75.6%	79.4%	17.6%	61.8%	17.6%	2.9%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	75.1%	72%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	80.6%	78.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	100%	79%	68.4%	81.5%	6.2%	75.4%	18.5%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	100%	84.6%	80.4%	86.6%	23.9%	62.7%	11.9%	1.5%
White	2008-09	<10	90%	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	91.7%	92.7%	78.8%	81.8%	9.1%	72.7%	18.2%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	100%	74.9%	62.9%	78.6%	7.1%	71.4%	21.4%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	100%	82.2%	80.3%	85.1%	22.4%	62.7%	13.4%	1.5%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	53.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	71%	58.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	4	100%	79.1%	70.6%	79.5%	11%	68.5%	19.2%	1.4%
Economically Disadvantage	•	98.7%	84.5%	78.3%	85.9%	21.8%	64.1%	12.8%	1.3%
Migrant	2009-10	<10	79.4%	71.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Grade: 04

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.8%	61.5%	59.2%	6.6%	52.6%	28.9%	11.8%
All Students	2009-10	100%	84.1%	64.3%	73.4%	3.1%	70.3%	25%	1.6%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.7%	64.6%	58.1%	2.3%	55.8%	30.2%	11.6%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.1%	67.8%	70.6%	0%	70.6%	26.5%	2.9%
Male	2008-09	100%	80.9%	58.4%	60.6%	12.1%	48.5%	27.3%	12.1%
Male	2009-10	100%	82.1%	60.8%	76.7%	6.7%	70%	23.3%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	65.6%	61.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	68.6%	64.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2008-09	<10	81.7%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	100%	72.6%	58.8%	62.3%	8.2%	54.1%	27.9%	9.8%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	100%	74.3%	61.8%	72.7%	3.6%	69.1%	25.5%	1.8%
White	2008-09	<10	88%	58.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	88.9%	61.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	100%	61.2%	56%	52.8%	3.8%	49.1%	34%	13.2%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	100%	64.3%	63.5%	76.9%	3.8%	73.1%	23.1%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	54.7%	34.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	58%	43.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	72.9%	59.9%	59.5%	5.4%	54.1%	28.4%	12.2%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	75.7%	63.3%	73.4%	3.1%	70.3%	25%	1.6%
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	81.5%	57.1%	54.8%	8.1%	46.8%	32.3%	12.9%
All Students	2009-10	100%	85.2%	65.1%	71%	17.7%	53.2%	17.7%	11.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.4%	60.7%	46.4%	3.6%	42.9%	35.7%	17.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.8%	67.7%	67.6%	16.2%	51.4%	18.9%	13.5%
Male	2008-09	100%	79.8%	53.6%	61.8%	11.8%	50%	29.4%	8.8%
Male	2009-10	100%	83.5%	62.5%	76%	20%	56%	16%	8%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	63.5%	57.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	70.4%	65.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska	2009-10	<10	82.5%	55.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Native									
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	100%	71.2%	52.2%	50.9%	9.4%	41.5%	35.8%	13.2%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	100%	74.6%	62.6%	70.8%	18.8%	52.1%	18.8%	10.4%
White	2008-09	<10	86.9%	57.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	89.8%	67%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	100%	56.2%	51.9%	50%	4.8%	45.2%	35.7%	14.3%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	100%	61.8%	62.9%	66.7%	18.8%	47.9%	20.8%	12.5%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	49.2%	28.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	57.6%	36.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	70.5%	55.2%	54.1%	6.6%	47.5%	32.8%	13.1%
Economicall Disadvantage	1	100%	76.6%	63.8%	71%	17.7%	53.2%	17.7%	11.3%

Mathematics

Grade: 03

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	74.8%	86.8%	39.5%	47.4%	13.2%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	94.8%	85.6%	89%	31.7%	57.3%	9.8%	1.2%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	74.7%	81.6%	36.8%	44.7%	18.4%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	94.8%	86.3%	93.5%	26.1%	67.4%	6.5%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	75%	92.1%	42.1%	50%	7.9%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	94.8%	84.8%	83.3%	38.9%	44.4%	13.9%	2.8%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	78.9%	73.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	87.7%	85%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	100%	86.9%	82.7%	87.7%	38.5%	49.2%	12.3%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	100%	92.3%	87.8%	90%	32.9%	57.1%	8.6%	1.4%
White	2008-09	<10	94.9%	79.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	100%	96.9%	90.8%	81.8%	18.2%	63.6%	18.2%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	100%	85.1%	78.9%	83.9%	37.5%	46.4%	16.1%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	100%	92.1%	89.7%	91.2%	35.3%	55.9%	7.4%	1.5%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	62.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	87.8%	78.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	•	100%	85.7%	74.2%	86.3%	38.4%	47.9%	13.7%	0%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	91.9%	85.2%	88.9%	30.9%	58%	9.9%	1.2%
Migrant	2009-10	<10	90.5%	78.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04									
All Students	2008-09	100%	87.9%	71.7%	72.4%	21.1%	51.3%	26.3%	1.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	92.3%	78.5%	90.5%	33.3%	57.1%	9.5%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	87.9%	72.4%	72.1%	16.3%	55.8%	25.6%	2.3%
Female	2009-10	100%	92.9%	80.4%	88.2%	29.4%	58.8%	11.8%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	87.8%	71%	72.7%	27.3%	45.5%	27.3%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	91.6%	76.7%	93.1%	37.9%	55.2%	6.9%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	73.8%	71.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	82.3%	77.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2008-09	<10	87%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or	2008-09	100%	81%	71.2%	75.4%	21.3%	54.1%	23%	1.6%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Latino									
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	100%	89.3%	86.4%	88.9%	29.6%	59.3%	11.1%	0%
White	2008-09	<10	92%	70.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	95.1%	82.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	100%	75.7%	70%	67.9%	18.9%	49.1%	32.1%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	100%	86.6%	87.7%	92.2%	31.4%	60.8%	7.8%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	68.1%	46.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	80%	66.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage	•	100%	80.4%	70.3%	73%	20.3%	52.7%	25.7%	1.4%
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	87.8%	78%	90.5%	33.3%	57.1%	9.5%	0%
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.8%	48.6%	54.1%	16.4%	37.7%	39.3%	6.6%
All Students	2009-10	100%	79.5%	57%	78.1%	29.7%	48.4%	18.8%	3.1%
Female	2008-09	100%	75.8%	48.8%	42.9%	3.6%	39.3%	46.4%	10.7%
Female	2009-10	100%	79.6%	57.3%	81.1%	29.7%	51.4%	16.2%	2.7%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Male	2008-09	100%	77.8%	48.5%	63.6%	27.3%	36.4%	33.3%	3%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	56.7%	74.1%	29.6%	44.4%	22.2%	3.7%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	55.2%	47.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	62.5%	56%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	71.7%	52.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	100%	66.1%	51.8%	55.8%	15.4%	40.4%	38.5%	5.8%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	100%	71%	64.2%	84%	32%	52%	12%	4%
White	2008-09	<10	83%	53.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	84.3%	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	100%	60.7%	51.6%	53.7%	17.1%	36.6%	43.9%	2.4%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	100%	67.6%	66.3%	79.6%	32.7%	46.9%	18.4%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	46.9%	26.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students	2009-10	<10	52.8%	33.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
with Disabilities									
Economically Disadvantage		100%	64.4%	46.9%	53.3%	15%	38.3%	40%	6.7%
Economically Disadvantage		100%	69.8%	56%	78.1%	29.7%	48.4%	18.8%	3.1%

Science

Grade: 05

All Students	2008-09	100%	83.1%	59.6%	62.9%	14.5%	48.4%	24.2%	12.9%
All Students	2009-10	100%	81%	56.6%	63.6%	10.6%	53%	30.3%	6.1%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.3%	62.5%	57.1%	0%	57.1%	25%	17.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	81.1%	56.3%	60.5%	7.9%	52.6%	31.6%	7.9%
Male	2008-09	100%	82.9%	56.8%	67.6%	26.5%	41.2%	23.5%	8.8%
Male	2009-10	100%	80.8%	56.9%	67.9%	14.3%	53.6%	28.6%	3.6%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	61.8%	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	59.6%	56.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	77.2%	76.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	100%	72.9%	62.1%	62.3%	15.1%	47.2%	22.6%	15.1%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	100%	68.8%	58.8%	64.7%	11.8%	52.9%	31.4%	3.9%
White	2008-09	<10	89.4%	60.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	87.5%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	100%	59%	62.7%	64.3%	11.9%	52.4%	19%	16.7%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	100%	56.7%	58.9%	61.2%	12.2%	49%	32.7%	6.1%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.6%	36.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	60.7%	36.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	72.3%	57.4%	62.3%	13.1%	49.2%	24.6%	13.1%
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	70.6%	55.4%	63.6%	10.6%	53%	30.3%	6.1%

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student	School	% Students	State %	District %	School %	%	%	% Partially	% Not
Group	Year	Tested	Students	Students	Students	Advanced	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient
			Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	(Level 4)
No records to	display.								

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)		
No records to	No records to display.									

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)		
No records to	No records to display.									

MEAP-Access

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Reading								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2009-10	<10	31.3%	32.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	31.5%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	31.2%	32.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	28%	29.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	31.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	26%	30%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	30.4%	32.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2009-10	<10	50.7%	51.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	50.6%	45%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	46.3%	56.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	44.6%	56.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	49.8%	51.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	50%	28.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	53.8%	23.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	48%	29.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	41.1%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or	2009-10	<10	55.8%	14.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Latino								
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	47.3%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	48%	26.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2009-10	<10	60.1%	52.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	55.5%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	62.2%	54.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	54.1%	46.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	49.2%	44.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	60.8%	50.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2009-10	<10	73%	78.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Female	2009-10	<10	71.2%	68.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	68.1%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	75%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	72.5%	80.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	62.6%	39.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	60.9%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	63.6%	36.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	55.2%	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	62.1%	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	60.3%	39%	<10	<10	<10	<10

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting

for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bennett Elementary School

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	96.6%	87.1%
Mathematics	95.9%	86.8%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	98.6%	92.6%
Mathematics	98.2%	95.9%
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%

	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*		
Mathematics	97.4%	88%		
District				
English Language Arts / Reading	96.5%	87%		
Mathematics	95.8%	86.1%		
School				
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30		
Mathematics	<30	<30		
State				
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%		
	99.2% 99%	93.2% 92.4%		
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics				
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics				
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District	99%	92.4%		
Mathematics District English Language Arts / Reading	99%	92.4%		
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics	99%	92.4%		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.4%	94.9%
Mathematics	96.7%	92.6%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	97%	88.2%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	96.8%	92.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	98.9%	93.5%
Mathematics	98.9%	97%
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	83.8%
Mathematics	97.8%	86.2%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Multiracial		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
State		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
	123.6% 126.3%	86.9% 92.3%
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics		
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics		
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District	126.3%	92.3%
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District English Language Arts / Reading	126.3%	92.3%
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	101.1%	61.2%
Mathematics	100.2%	67.2%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	105.8%	86.9%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	105.2%	87.1%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	92.9%
Mathematics	101.4%	96.3%

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access. * AYP Targets (Annual Measurable Objectives)

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)		
All Students		
State		
	75.39%	
District		
	59.65%	
Black or African American		
State		
	56.59%	
District		

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)		
	60.23%	
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
	65%	
District		
	35.48%	
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
	84.47%	
District		
	60%	
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
	59.94%	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
District		
	53.33%	
White		
State		
	81.85%	
District		
	49.38%	
Multiracial		
State		
	71.12%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	65.51%	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
District		
	61.88%	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	57.61%	
District		
	40.34%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	59.8%	
District		
	53.5%	
	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
All Students		

Attendance F (Goal 90%	Cate)
State	
94.7%	
District	
84.4%	
School	
90.7%	
Black or African American	
State	
91%	
District	
84%	
School	
89.2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)		
State		
	93.7%	
District		
	84%	
School		
	87.6%	
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
	96.5%	
District		
	88.2%	
School		
	89.7%	
Hispanic or Latino		

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State		
	94.1%	
District		
	88.4%	
School		
	91.5%	
White		
State		
	95.7%	
District		
	84.2%	
School		
	85.8%	
Multiracial		

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)		
State		
	94.8%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	94.6%	
District		
	88.2%	
School		
	91.8%	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	93.5%	
District		
	81%	

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)		
School		
	91.8%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	94.8%	
District		
	85.4%	
School		
* All data based on students enrolled for a	90.7%	

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

^{**} More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link: http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709 22875---,00.html

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bennett Elementary School

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Yes	Met	Met	Met	В	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bennett Elementary School

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	5	26	0
Qualifications of All				
Public Elementary and				
Secondary School				
Teachers in the School				

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers	0%
in the School with Emergency Certification	
	School Aggregate
	66 6
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary	0%

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male Female	50 50	22 22	41 45	30 29	7 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56 ‡	36 11 ‡	47 40 ‡	16 40 ‡	1 9 ‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	14	43	37	6
Black	20	52	39	9	0
Hispanic	5	29	51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	42 19	39 44	17 31	2 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	48 21	40 43	11 31	1 5

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male Female	51 49	31 33	37 38	24 24	8 5
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	38 62 ‡	50 21 ‡	37 38 ‡	12 31 ‡	1 10 ‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	23	40	29	8
Black	18	68	27	4	1
Hispanic	4	38	45	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	11	30	31	28
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	75 27	22 39	2 27	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	58 32	32 37	10 24	0 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male Female	50 50	39 32	35 34	21 26	5 8
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 57 #	52 24 ‡	33 36 ‡	13 31 ‡	2 10 ‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	28	36	28	8
Black	19	65	26	7	1
Hispanic	5	49	34	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	21	37	25	17
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	66 32	24 36	8 25	3 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL		65 35	26 35	9 24	1 7

[#] Rounds to zero

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male Female	51 49	33 23	42 41	23 32	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	37 62 ‡	44 18 ‡	41 42 ‡	14 36 ‡	1 4 ‡

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	73 23	22 43	4 30	# 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL		60 27	33 42	8 28	# 3

[#] Rounds to zero

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading			81.98 72.01			3.063 3.53
8	Math Reading	76.39 70.72		76.21 70.46			4.12 4.505

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.